Revisiting the loss of V2 in French Anthony Kroch and Beatrice Santorini University of Pennsylvania

The existence of parsed corpora of historical English (Kroch and Taylor 2000, Taylor et al. 2003, Kroch et al. 2004, Taylor et al. 2006) has made practicable detailed quantitative studies of the temporal evolution of English word order. Recently, a Canadian project, Modéliser le changement: les voies du français [MCVF] (http://www.voies.uottawa.ca/index.html), has created a parsed corpus of historical French. As a result, we now have the prospect of conducting similar quantitative studies of that language, as well as quantitative investigations of the comparative evolution of French and English. Moreover, as suitably annotated corpora of more languages become available, we can foresee the emergence of a richly quantitative and fully comparative historical syntax.

In this paper, we take a step in the direction of this new subfield by revisiting the loss of verb-second word order in French, with particular emphasis on comparing this development to the parallel loss of V2 in English. In some ways, the two languages look remarkably alike. For instance, in both of them there is a steep decline of direct object topicalization that accompanies the loss of V2 word order. Recent work by Speyer (Speyer 2005, 2008) confirms an earlier observation by Johnson and Whitton (2002) that the frequency of object topicalization in the course of Middle English drops by approximately a factor of 3 and the MCVF corpus reveals an even greater decline between Old and Middle French. At the same time, the frequency of PP and adverb fronting remains largely constant in both languages. A second commonality (Hulk and van Kemenade 1995, Vance 1995, 1997, Haeberli 2000) concerns the evolution of the position of the subject in the two languages. In both French and English, there was in earlier periods a widely used low position for subjects which became more restricted over time. Given these common features, it is striking that the loss of verb-second word order follows a different trajectory in the two languages, in part because the grammatical starting point for the change was quite different in the two cases. Old English was not a canonical V2 language and did not exhibit V-to-C movement in topicalized sentences (Pintzuk 1991, 1993). Verb-second surface word order was not forced by any grammatical requirement but reflected rather a prosodically-driven propensity for the use of the low subject position in topicalized sentences. Old French, on the other hand, was a strict V-to-C V2 language (Adams 1987a, Vance 1997) in which verb-second word order was forced by the same syntactic licensing requirement found in the modern Germanic V2 languages.

The loss of verb-second word order in Middle English resulted from a decline over time in the availability of the low subject position. This decline was accompanied by a decline in the frequency of topicalization, because the prosodic requirement that had favored the use of the low subject position in topicalized sentences in Old English did not change. The contrast with French is sharp. In the transition from Old to Middle French V-to-C movement was greatly restricted (Vance 1997); but the use of the low subject position remained robust, leaving Middle French with a grammar similar to that of Old English. It is then surprising that the frequency of object topicalization in Middle French should have been as low as it was. In Old English, after all, the frequency was quite high. If French had truly followed the English parallel, it should have maintained a high frequency of object topicalization until modern times, the period when its use of the low subject position became restricted.

The best explanation for the drop in frequency of topicalization in French turns out to be the change in accentuation that philologists have argued French underwent (See the discussion in Adams (1987b)). This change greatly restricted phrasal accents at the left edge of an utterance, making the normal double accentuation of most topicalized sentences impossible, thereby eliminating the motivation for movement of a topicalized argument to the left edge of a matrix clause.

References

- Marianne Patalino Adams. 1987a. From Old French to the theory of pro-drop. *Natural Language* and *Linguistic Theory*, 5:1–32.
- Marianne Patalino Adams. 1987b. Old French, null subjects and verb second phenomena. PhD thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Eric Haeberli. 2000. Adjuncts and the syntax of subjects in Old and Middle English. In Susan Pintzuk, George Tsoulas, and Anthony Warner, editors, *Diachronic syntax: models and mechanisms*, pp. 109–131. Oxford University Press.
- Aafke Hulk and Ans van Kemenade. 1995. Verb second, pro-drop, functional projections and language change. In Adrian Battye and Ian Roberts, editors, *Language change and verbal systems*, pp. 227–256. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Daniel Johnson and Laura Whitton. 2002. A corpus-based quantitative analysis of subject-verb inversion in Middle and Early Modern English. Ms. University of Pennsylvania.
- Anthony Kroch, Beatrice Santorini, and Lauren Delfs. 2004. Penn-Helsinki Parsed Corpus of Early Modern English. CD-ROM, first edition.
- Anthony Kroch and Ann Taylor. 2000. Penn-Helsinki Parsed Corpus of Middle English, second edition. CD-ROM, second edition.
- Susan Pintzuk. 1991. Phrase structures in competition: Variation and change in Old English word order. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania.
- Susan Pintzuk. 1993. Verb seconding in Old English: Verb movement to Infl. *The Linguistic Review*, 10:5–35.
- Augustin Speyer. 2005. A phonological factor for the decline in topicalization in english. In Stephan Kepser and Marga Reis, editors, *Linguistic Evidence*, Studies in Generative Grammar 85, pp. 485–506. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Augustin Speyer. 2008. On the interaction of prosody and syntax in the history of English, with a few remarks on German. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania.
- Ann Taylor, Arja Nurmi, Anthony Warner, Susan Pintzuk, and Terttu Nevalainen. 2006. Parsed Corpus of Early English Correspondence. Oxford Text Archive, first edition.
- Ann Taylor, Anthony Warner, Susan Pintzuk, and Frank Beths. 2003. York-Toronto-Helsinki Parsed Corpus of Old English Prose. Oxford Text Archive, first edition.
- Barbara Vance. 1995. On the decline of verb movement to Comp in Old and MiddleFrench. In Adrian Battye and Ian Roberts, editors, *Language change and verbal systems*, pp. 173–199. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Barbara S. Vance. 1997. Syntactic Change in Medieval French: Verb-Second and Null Subjects, volume 41 of Studies in natural language and linguistic theory. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, London.