## Morpho-syntactic Approach to Pronominal Binding

- **1. Introduction and Proposal:** In this talk, I present the following novel proposal on the distribution of a bound variable reading for pronouns.
- (1) a. The availability of a bound variable reading for pronouns is predictable from the morphological structure of the pronouns.
  - b. Noun-containing pronouns cannot be a bound variable.

Wiltschko (2000) proposes that there are two different pronominal forms, namely AgrP (*Agr-pronoun*) and DP (*D-pronoun*), which are determined by morphosyntactic criteria. Déchaine & Wiltschko (2002) (D&W 2002) develop the idea and argue that languages can have three different pronoun types, that is pro-DP, pro-φP, and pro-NP. They argue that the pronoun type, not its morphological structure, determines its binding property. The above proposal (1) clearly opposes to the D&W's (2002) argument in that the morphological structure of pronouns determines the binding property of the pronouns.

In this talk, I argue that D&W's (2002) argument cannot explain the distribution of a bound variable reading for Korean pronouns. Then I argue that the above proposal (1) can explain not only Korean data but also other cross-linguistic data without positing the novel category (pro-φP) proposed by D&W (2002).

**2. Korean Pronouns:** According to Kang (1988), Korean 3rd person pronoun ku 'he' (and the corresponding feminine form kunye 'she') can have a bound variable reading as in (2). In contrast, another 3rd person emphatic pronoun kui 'he' cannot have a bound variable reading as in (3). The emphatic pronoun kui is different from R-expressions. For example, if the subject in (3) were a proper name, kui can be coreferent with the subject, but definite expressions such as ku salam 'the person' cannot. The same contrast can be seen with respect to honorific 3rd person pronouns, tangsin 'he (honorific)' and tangsin 'he (honorific)' and tangsin can have a bound variable reading, but tangsin cannot have a bound variable reading as in (4) and (5).

According to Wiltschko (2000) and D&W (2002), the four pronouns (ku, kunye, kui and kupwun) must be analyzed as a DP, since they are headed by the same morpheme ku which is used as a determiner. They argue that DPs cannot have a bound variable reading regardless of its internal morphological structure. But ku and kunye can have a bound variable reading contrary to their prediction. This can be explained with the above proposal. kui and kupwun are morphologically complex and they contain a noun in it. But ku and kunye does not contain a noun. The table (6) shows that the morpheme -i and -pwun in kui and kupwun can be used as a (independent) noun, but -nye in kunye cannot: -i and -pwun can be modified productively by adjectives and other demonstrative determiners, but -nye cannot. Thus the noun containing pronouns (kui and kupwun) cannot have a bound variable reading, but ku and kunye can have a bound variable reading, though the pronominal type of the four pronouns is the same, namely DP.

**3. Further Applications-Revisiting D&W** (2002): The proposal can also explain the data discussed in D&W (2002). According to D&W (2002), Halkomelem pronouns cannot have a bound variable reading. The unavailability of a bound variable reading follows from the fact that the pronouns are morphologically complex and the element after the determiner in the pronouns is actually an NP. On the other hand, Shuswap pronouns can have a bound variable reading. D&W (2002) argue that the pronouns are φPs with no complement. As long as they do not contain an NP, the above proposal (1) predicts that they can have a bound variable reading. Regarding Japanese *kare* 'he', there are many arguments that *kare* is actually NP (Noguchi 1997, and others). So it cannot have a bound variable reading as expected. With respect to English 1st and 2nd person pronouns, they can have a bound variable reading (see Rullman (2004) and others) and this conforms to my theory.

## DATA

- (2) Nukuna<sub>i</sub> [**ku**<sub>i/j</sub>-lul ccocha-o-nun salam-ul] silh-e ha-n-ta. everyone he-ACC chase-come-PNE person-ACC hate-IMPF-DEC 'Everyone<sub>i</sub> hates the person who chases him<sub>i/j</sub>.' (Kang 1988)
- (3) \*Nukuna<sub>i</sub> [**kui**<sub>i</sub>-lul ccocha-o-nun salam-ul] silheha-n-ta. everyone he-ACC chase-come-PNE person-ACC hate-IMPF-DEC 'Everyone<sub>i</sub> hates the person who chases HIM<sub>i</sub>.'
- (4) Enu sensayng<sub>i</sub>-nim-ina **tangsin**<sub>i/j</sub>-ul conkyengha-nun haksayng-ul coaha-n-ta every teacher-H-also (H)he-ACC respect-PNE student-ACC like-IMPF-DECL 'Every teacher<sub>i</sub> likes a student who respects him<sub>i/i</sub>.'
- (5) \*Enu sensayng<sub>i</sub>-nim-ina **kupwun**<sub>i</sub>-ul conkyengha-nun haksayng-ul coaha-n-ta every teacher-H-also (H)he-ACC respect-PNE student-ACC like-IMPF-DECL 'Every teacher $_i$  likes a student who respects him $_i$ .'

(6) Paradigm of -i/-pwun and -nye

~ /	of the production of the produ					
		ku 'the'	i 'this'	ce 'that'	adjectives	
	-nye	ku-nye 'she'	this woman		*nappun nye 'a bad woman' *cohun nye 'a good lady'	
	-i	ku-i 'he'	<i>i i</i> 'this person'		nappun i 'a bad person' cohun i 'a good person'	
	-pwun	ku-pwun '(H) he'	<i>i pwun</i> 'this person(H)'	ce pwun 'that person(H)'	nappun pwun 'a bad person(H)' cohun pwun 'a good person(H)'	

<sup>\*(</sup>H) represents honorific meaning.

## REFERENCES

Dechaine, Rose-Marie, and Martina Wiltschko. 2002. Decomposing Pronouns. *Linguistic Inquiry 33*:409-442.

Kang, Myung-Yoon. 1988. *Topics in Korean syntax: Phrase structure, variable binding and movement.* Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.

Noguchi, Tohru. 1997. Two types of pronouns and variable binding, Language 73,770-797.

Rullman, Hotze. 2004. First and second person pronouns as bound variables. *Linguistic Inquiry* 35:159-168.

Wiltschko, Martina. 2000. The categorical determination of pronominal binding properties. *Proceedings* of NELS 30 (1999). Rutgers University.